

Monthly Wholesale and Retail Trade Surveys (MWRTS) Restratification Project and Historical Revisions

Questions and Answers

What changed with the releases of February data?

The purpose of a restratification is to improve a sample to account for changes to a population that occur over time. As a result, estimates for previous periods have been revised to account for the new levels from the restratified sample. In addition, data have been revised to incorporate new information based on late receipt of respondent information, correction of information on data provided, the replacement of estimated figures with actual values (once available), the reclassification of companies within, into and out of the retail or wholesale trade industry and updates to seasonal and trading day factors.

Data for retail and wholesale trade are presented based directly on the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) basis, rather than using the trade group variant of NAICS.

The unadjusted and seasonally adjusted estimates have been revised back to January 2004.

Why do a restratification?

A common survey approach, which is used by the MWRTS, is to divide the business population into four size groups. The largest businesses—those which contribute the most to the published estimates—are included in the survey every month and represent only themselves in the overall estimates. The second and third size groups generally correspond to medium and small businesses. For reasons of cost and response burden, Statistics Canada does not ask every one of these businesses to provide information on a monthly basis. Instead, a subset of these businesses is sampled and their responses are used to estimate data for the remaining businesses in their group. The fourth size group, representing the smallest businesses, are not subject to sample selection and are estimated using a model based on their sales level according to their Goods and Services Tax (GST) filings.

The last sample allocation for the MWRTS happened in 2004. Since then, a lot has changed in these industries. Some companies have grown, others have gone out of business and some have changed the nature of their activity. The February estimates incorporate a new sample allocation based on the most recent information available on the size of these businesses. This information is obtained from Statistics Canada's Business Register.

In some cases the thresholds defining the size groups were changed and in other, the individual businesses were reassigned to different groups depending on their current size. The end result is that the new sample is a better reflection of the current business population.

What was wrong with the old methodology? Is it a redesign? What are you doing differently than before?

The definition of retail or wholesale trade has not changed. There has been a change in the industry groupings used to draw the sample. For example, in retail trade, the previous sample put used car dealers (NAICS 44112), other motor vehicle dealers (4412) and automotive parts, accessories and tire stores (4413) together. They are now sampled separately.

In addition, for the non-surveyed portion, modeled data based on GST returns is now used instead of a constant ratio type estimator based on the 2003 population.

The sampling strategy involves splitting the business population into groups that are similar in their characteristics. This involves grouping businesses based on their geographic location, the nature of their business activity and also by the size of the business. The recent review of the sample focused on updating the geographic and industrial coding as well as the size information associated with wholesale and retail businesses. In addition, some of the size thresholds were redefined to distribute the sample more efficiently.

What specific problems caused us to do this? Does it solve all of these problems? Why can you not update the sample on a continuous basis?

The purpose of updating the size thresholds for groups of businesses, reassigning individual businesses based on more current information, was to improve the accuracy and stability of the estimates.

The accuracy of the estimates is improved by having better groupings of businesses with similar characteristics for the estimation of detailed industry estimates.

The sample is not updated on an ongoing basis because of the potential to distort trends. As with the recent sample review, the result of updating the size codes on businesses can change the level of the published estimates. This would make it very difficult to distinguish between month-to-month movements caused by the change in the sample and those caused by the reported data.

Why did you revise back to 2004? How do I link prior to 2004? How can I adjust the previous series?

When the new sample data was collected and parallel estimates produced, there was a difference between the new and old survey estimates. In order to avoid a data break, the previously published estimates were adjusted to this new level. It was assumed that the quality of the sample had gradually deteriorated through time and, therefore, the adjustment method spread the level break over a long time period.

The previously published estimates were revised back to January 2004 because this was the last time a redesign or a restratification was done.

All previously published data for wholesale and retail trade (monthly estimates) should be replaced with the new data series back to 2004.

Caution should be used in making comparisons to previous time periods for certain wholesale trade series.

Are the data more accurate/reliable? Why should we believe the new numbers? Do revisions address any previous bias in the data?

The most recent survey results should be more accurate than the previously published data. The previously published estimates were not 'wrong,' but for some series they were less accurate or more susceptible to sampling variability. The longer the time period since a new sample has been drawn, the more the original sample becomes out of date and is no longer optimal. A non-optimal sample leads to a larger standard error (or CV) than the original sample and less reliable estimates. As well, it is possible for an unknown bias to creep into estimates if the structure of the population changes significantly since the original sample.

It is clear that the revisions have improved the quality of the estimates. Some of the revisions were quite small and would not necessarily qualify as 'significant.' Remember that the survey results are estimates and do have a reliability range.

Why change the classification system, too?

We have also taken this opportunity to change our classification system to use directly the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) rather than its trade group variant. This will make it easier to compare the data with other sources at Statistics Canada.

By using the concordance table you can see the alignment of the Trade Groups to NAICS and vice-versa which will make your transition more seamless.


For your convenience, a concordance table has been created: http://www.statcan.gc.ca/imdb-bmdi/document/2406_D12_T9_V1-eng.pdf.

What are the impacts on estimates related to the restratification? Can we see the backcasting effect on the estimates?

When the new sample data was collected and parallel estimates were produced, there was a difference between the new and old survey estimates. In order to avoid a data break, the previously published estimates were adjusted to this new level. It was assumed that the quality of the sample had gradually deteriorated through time and, therefore, the adjustment method spread the level break over a long time period.

The following graphs show the effect of the revisions back to January 2004 on the previously published estimates for the MRTS and the MWTS. Series are defined as follow: unadjusted (UN) and seasonally adjusted (SA) sales of the old sample (OS) versus unadjusted and seasonally adjusted sales of the new sample, results of the restratification (R). It is possible to observe that the historical monthly changes are slightly different between the OS compare to the R, as a result of annuals and historical data revisions, reclassification of companies within, into and out of the retail or wholesale trade industry and updates to seasonal and trading day factors.

**Monthly Retail Trade Survey Sales: Restratification 2010
Canada Total**



**Monthly Wholesale Trade Survey Sales: Restratification 2010
Canada Total**

